arXiv:1402.7120v1 [math.AP] 28 Feb 2014

Schauder estimates for solutions of sub-Laplace equations with Dini terms *

Tingxi Hu, Pengcheng Niu†
Department of Applied Mathematics,
Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710129, China

March 3, 2014

Abstract

In this paper we establish Schauder estimates for the sublalpace equation

$$\Sigma_{j=1}^{m} X_j^2 u = f,$$

where $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_m$ is a system of smooth vector field which generates the first layer in the Lie algebra of a Carnot group. We drive the estimate for the second order derivatives of the solution to the equation with Dini continue inhomogeneous term f by the perturbation argument.

Keywords: Carnot group; sub-Laplace; Schauder estimate; Dini continue; perturbation argument.

MSC2010: 35B65; 35R03.

1 Introduction

Schauder estimates play an important role in the theory of elliptic equations, see [6, 11]. For the second order uniformly elliptic equation in any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\Sigma_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x)\partial_{ij}^2 u = f,$$

such estimates provide a bound of the Hölder norm in Ω of the second derivatives of the solution u in terms of the Hölder norms in Ω of the coefficients a_{ij} and f.

*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11271299), Natural Science Foundation Research Project of Shaanxi Province (Grant No. 2012JM1014).

†Corresponding author. pengchengniu@nwpu.edu.cn(P. Niu)

A sharper form of these estimates was introduced by Caffarelli [3] in the study of fully non-linear elliptic equations. He derived Schauder estimates for viscosity solutions by comparing the solutions with osculating quadratic polynomials in a neighborhood of a fixed point, and this method is called "perturbation argument". In Caffarelli's approach, the Hölder regularity of u at a point is basically determined by the Hölder regularity of a_{ij} and f at the same point, hence such estimates are said pointwise Schauder estimates. Wang in [23] compared the quadratic part of the solutions to the Laplace equation with solutions of approximate equations and proved the Hölder norm of D^2u in terms of Dini continuous inhomogeneous term. Afterwords, the method was used to investigate the fully non-linear elliptic and parabolic equations, see Liu-Trudinger-Wang[16], Tian-Wang [22].

For degenerate elliptic equations constructed by left translation invariant vector fields, several authors derived Schauder estimates, see Lunardi in [19], Capogna-Han [5], Polidoro -Di Francesco [21] and Gutiérrez-Lanconelli [12]. Schauder estimates for heat type euqations induced by smooth vector fields satisfying Hörmander's finite rank condition were showed by Bramanti-Brandolini [2].

Recently, Jiang-Tian [15] showed Schauder estimates for the Kohn-Laplace equation with Dini continuous inhomogeneous term in the Heisenberg group in the spirit of [23]. We generalize the result in [15] to the sub-Laplace equations in Carnot groups.

In the present paper we consider the equation

$$Lu \equiv \Sigma_{j=1}^{m} X_j^2 u = f \quad in\ B_1(0), \tag{1.1}$$

in which L is the sub-laplacian on a Carnot group G and the right hand term f is Dini continuous, i.e., f satisfies

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega_f(r)}{r} dr < \infty,$$

where $\omega_f(r) = \sup_{d(\xi,\eta)<r} |f(\xi) - f(\eta)|$ and $d(\xi,\eta)$ is the pseudo-distance (see next section) between ξ and η, $B_1(0)$ denotes the unit gauge ball centered at origin.

Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let $u \in C^2(B_1(0))$ be a solution of (1.1), then for any $\xi, \eta \in B_{1/2}(0)$, $d = d(\xi,\eta)$, there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &|X_iX_ju(\xi) - X_iX_ju(\eta)| \\ &\leqslant C\left(d(\sup_{B_1(0)} |u| + ||f||_{L^\infty} + \int_{\sqrt{d}}^1 \frac{\omega_f(r)}{r^2} dr) + \int_0^{\sqrt{d}} \frac{\omega_f(r)}{r} dr \right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

In particular, if $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(B_1(0))$ $(0 < \alpha \leqslant 1)$, then

$$|X_iX_ju(\xi) - X_iX_ju(\eta)| \leqslant Cd^{\alpha/2} \left(\sup_{B_1(0)} |u| + ||f||_{C^{0,\alpha}} \right), \ \alpha \in (0,1), \tag{1.3}$$

$$|X_iX_ju(\xi)-X_iX_ju(\eta)|$$
$$\leqslant Cd^{1/2}\left(\sup_{B_1(0)}|u|+||f||_{C^{0,1}}\left(1+|\sqrt{d}\log\sqrt{d}|\right)\right),\ \alpha=1. \tag{1.4}$$

The plan of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we introduce knowledge related to Carnot groups and some preliminary lemmas. Also a maximum principle for (1.1) with Dirichlet boundary value problem is proved. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We mention that the treatment for the Taylor polynomials in the Carnot group is more complicated than in the Heisenberg group. Also necessary techniques to use the perturbation argument are given.

2 Preliminary results

We begin by describing several known facts on Carnot groups and refer to [1, 10] for more information. Especially, we provide a maximum principle (Lemma 2.5) for solutions of a boundary value problem to the sub-Laplace equation.

A Carnot group G of step s is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group such that its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a stratification $\mathfrak{g}=\oplus_{l=1}^{s}V_l$, with $[V_1,V_l]=V_{l+1}$ $(l=1,2,\ldots,s-1)$ and $[V_1,V_s]=\{0\}$. Denoting $m_l=\dim V_l$, we fix on G a system of coordinates $\xi=(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_s)$, in which $z_l=(x_{l,1},x_{l,2},\ldots,x_{l,m_l})\in\mathbb{R}^{m_l}$.

Every Carnot group G is naturally equipped with a family of non-isotropic dilations defined by δ_r:

$$\delta_r(\xi)=(rz_1,r^2z_2,\ldots,r^sz_s)\ ,\xi\in G,r>0,$$

and the homogeneous dimension of G is given by $Q=\sum\limits_{l=1}^{s}lm_l$. We express by $dH(\xi)$ a fixed bi-invariant Haar measure on G. One easily sees $dH(\delta_r(\xi))=r^QdH(\xi)$. By the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, the group law on G is

$$\xi\eta=\xi+\eta+\sum_{1\leqslant l,k\leqslant s}Z_{l,k}(\xi,\eta)\ ,\ \xi,\eta\in G,$$

where $Z_{l,k}(\xi,\eta)$ is a fixed linear combination of iterated commutators containing l times ξ and k times η.

The homogenous norm of ξ on G is defined by $|\xi|=(\Sigma_{j=1}^{s}|z_j|^{2s!/j})^{1/2s!}$, where $|z_j|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of $z_j\in\mathbb{R}^{m_j}$. Such homogenous norm on G can be used to define a pseudo-distance on G which is $d(\xi,\eta)=|\xi^{-1}\eta|$. Denote the gauge ball of radial r centered at ξ by $B_r(\xi)=\{\eta\in G|d(\xi,\eta)<r\}$.

Let $X=\{X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_m\}$ be a basis of V_1, then we can write X_i as

$$X_i=\partial_{1,i}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{m}a_{ij}(\xi)\partial_{1,j}+\sum_{l=2}^{s}\sum_{k=1}^{m_l}b_{ilk}(\xi)\partial_{l,k},\ X_i(0)=\partial_{1,i},$$

where $a_{ij}(\xi)$ and $b_{ilk}(\xi)$ are polynomials. The sub-Laplacian on G associated with X is of the form $L=\Sigma_{i=1}^{m}X_i^2$, which is a hypoelliptic second order partial differential operator. Obviously, it holds $L(\frac{x_{1,1}^2}{2})=1$.

Suppose that $\{X_{l,1},X_{l,2},\ldots,X_{l,m_l}\}$ is a basis of V_l and consider a multi-index $I=\{(i_k,j_k)\}_{k=1}^{s}$ with $i_k\in\{1,2,\ldots,l\}, j_k\in\{1,2,\ldots,m_{i_k}\}$. For a smooth function f on G, we denote a derivative of f with order $|I|=\Sigma_{k=1}^{s}i_k$ by

$$X^I f=X_{i_1,j_1}X_{i_2,j_2}\ldots X_{i_s,j_s}f.$$

We will use the notation $Xf=(X_1f,X_2f,\ldots,X_m)$ for convenience too.

A polynomial on G is a function which can be expressed in the exponential coordinates by

$$P(\xi)=\sum_J a_J x^J,$$

where $J=\{j_{i,k}\}_{i=1,\ldots,m_k}^{k=1,\ldots,s}$, a_J are the real numbers and $x^J=\Pi_{i=1,\ldots,m_k}^{k=1,\ldots,s}x_{i,k}^{j_{i,k}}$. The homogeneous degree of the monomial x^J is given by the sum $|J|=\Sigma_{k=1}^{s}\Sigma_{i=1}^{m_k}kj_{i,k}$.

Let $\Omega\subset G$ be an open set. If $k\in N$ and $1\leqslant p<\infty$, we define the horizontal Sobolev space by

$$HW^{k,p}(\Omega)=\{f:\ |X^I f|\in L^p(\Omega),0\leqslant|I|\leqslant k\}.$$

Then we illustrate the Hölder space and Lipschitz space with respect to the pseudo-distance. If $0<\alpha\leqslant 1$ and f is a function defined in an open set Ω, let

$$[f]_{C^{0,\alpha}}=\sup\left\{\frac{|f(\xi)-f(\eta)|}{d(\xi,\eta)^{\alpha}}:\xi,\eta\in\Omega,\xi\neq\eta\right\}.$$

The Hölder space is defined by

$$C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)=\{f|\,[f]_{C^{0,\alpha}}<\infty\},\ 0<\alpha<1$$

and Lipschitz space by $C^{0,1}(\Omega)=\{f|\,[f]_{C^{0,1}}<\infty\}$. In addition we denote that $||f||_{C^{0,\alpha}}:=[f]_{C^{0,\alpha}}+||f||_{L^\infty}$, for $0<\alpha\leqslant 1$.

We introduce some known results that will be used in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *([1, pp.390-391]) The gauge balls $B_r(\xi)(\xi\in G,r>0)$ are L-regular open sets, i.e., for any $f\in C^\infty(B_r(\xi))$, there exists a Perron-Wiener-Brelot generalized solution $u\in C^\infty(B_r(\xi))\cap C(\overline{B_r(\xi)})$ to the boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} Lu=f & \text{in } B_r(\xi),\\ u|_{\partial B_r(\xi)}=g & g\in C(\partial B_r(\xi)).\end{cases}\tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.2. *(a priori estimates, [4]) Let $\Omega \subset G$ be an open set and u be L harmonic, i.e., u satisfies $Lu = 0$, then for a given integer k and any multiple-index I, $|I| \leqslant k$, there exists a constant C depending on G and k such that if $\overline{B_r(\xi)} \subset \Omega$, then*

$$|X^I u|(\eta) \leqslant C r^{-k} \sup_{\overline{B_r(\xi)}} |u|, \ \ \eta \in B_r(\xi). \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.3. *(Folland-Stein [7]) Let $\Omega \subset G$ be an open set, then for any $1 < p < Q$, there exist a positive constant S_p depending on G, such that for $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,*

$$\left(\int_\Omega |f|^{p*} dH \right)^{1/p*} \leqslant S_p \left(\int_\Omega |Xf|^p dH \right)^{1/p}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $p* = \frac{pQ}{Q-p}$, $|Xf| = (\Sigma_{j=1}^m |X_j f|^2)^{1/2}$.

The following technical lemma is adapted from Chen and Wu [6].

Lemma 2.4. *(De Giorgi's iteration lemma, [6]) Let $\varphi(t)$ be a nonnegative and non-increasing function on $[k_0, +\infty)$ satisfying*

$$\varphi(h) \leqslant \frac{C}{(h-k)^\alpha} [\varphi(k)]^\beta, \ h > k \geqslant k_0,$$

for some constant $C > 0, \alpha > 0, \beta > 1$. Then we have

$$\varphi(k_0 + \tilde{d}) = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

in which $\tilde{d} = C^{1/\alpha} [\varphi(k_0)]^{(\beta-1)/\alpha} 2^{\beta/(\beta-1)}$.

Following the method of proving a classical maximum principle in [6, Theorem 2.4], we can obtain the following result by combining Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4.

Lemma 2.5. *(Maximum principle) Let $\Omega \subset G$ be an open set, $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ solves (2.1), then*

$$\sup_\Omega |u| \leqslant \sup_{\partial\Omega} |g| + C ||f||_{L^\infty(\Omega)} |\Omega|^{2/Q} 2^{(Q+2)/4}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Notice that for every $\varphi \in C_0^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$\int_\Omega \Sigma_{i=1}^m X_i u X_i \varphi dH = -\int_\Omega f \varphi dH.$$

Set $k_0 = \sup_{\partial\Omega} |g|$ and $\varphi = (u-k)_+$ with $k > k_0$, and denote $A(k) = \{\xi \in \Omega | u > k\}$. It is easy to know $X_i \varphi = X_i u$ in $A(k)$. Then

$$\int_{A(k)} \Sigma_{i=1}^m |X_i \varphi|^2 dH = \int_{A(k)} \Sigma_{i=1}^m X_i u X_i \varphi dH = \int_{A(k)} f \varphi dH. \tag{2.6}$$

By Lemma 2.3, it obtains

$$\left(\int_{A(k)}|\varphi|^{2^*}dH\right)^{2/2^*}\leqslant C\int_{A(k)}\Sigma_{i=1}^{m}|X_i\varphi|^2dH. \tag{2.7}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{A(k)}f\varphi dH &\leqslant \left(\int_{A(k)}|\varphi|^{2^*}dH\right)^{1/2^*}\left(\int_{A(k)}|f|^{2Q/(2+Q)}dH\right)^{(2+Q)/2Q}\\ &\leqslant \left(\int_{A(k)}|\varphi|^{2^*}dH\right)^{1/2^*}||f||_{L^\infty(\Omega)}|A(k)|^{(2+Q)/2Q}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Since $A(h)\subset A(k)$ and $\varphi\geqslant h-k$ in $A(h)$ if $k<h$, it follows

$$(h-k)^{2^*}|A(h)|\leqslant\int_{A(h)}|\varphi|^{2^*}dH\leqslant\int_{A(k)}|\varphi|^{2^*}dH. \tag{2.9}$$

Combining (2.6)-(2.9), it yields

$$|A(h)|\leqslant\frac{(C||f||_{L^\infty(\Omega)})^{2^*}}{(h-k)^{2^*}}|A(k)|^{(Q+2)/(Q-2)}.$$

By Lemma 2.4 we get (2.5). □

We will need the following three Lemmas referring to [1, 8], which are important in applying the perturbation argument.

Lemma 2.6. *(Taylor polynomial) Let $f\in C^\infty(G)$, then for every integer n, there exists a unique polynomial $P_n(f,0)$ homogenous of degree at most n, such that*

$$X^IP_n(f,0)(0)=X^If(0), \tag{2.10}$$

for all multiple-index I satisfying $|I|\leqslant n$.

Lemma 2.7. *(Remainder in Taylor formula) Let $f\in C^{n+1}(G)$, $\xi\in G$, then*

$$f(\eta)-P_n(f,\xi)(\eta)=O_{\eta\to\xi}(d^{n+1}(\xi^{-1}\eta)). \tag{2.11}$$

Lemma 2.8. *(Mean value theorem) There exist absolute constants $b,C>0$, depending only on G and the homogenous norm $|\cdot|$, such that*

$$|f(\xi\eta)-f(\xi)|\leqslant C|\eta|\sup_{B_{b|\eta|}(\xi)}|Xf|, \tag{2.12}$$

for all $f\in C^1(G)$ and every $\xi,\eta\in G$.

Remark 1. The constant b in Lemma 2.8 can be taken 1 when the homogenous norm $|\cdot|$ is changed by the Carnot-Carathéodory distance, see [1] for detail. In the sequel we always suppose $b\geq 1$ without loss of generality.

3 Proof of main result

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1. Denote $B_k = B_{\rho^k}(0), \rho = \frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a solution $u_k \in C^\infty(B_k) \cap C(\bar{B_k})$ to the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu_k = f_0 = f(0) & in\ B_k, \\ u_k = u & on\ \partial B_k. \end{cases}$$

Then $v_k = u - u_k$ satisfies the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} Lv_k = f - f_0 & in\ B_k, \\ v_k = 0 & on\ \partial B_k. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\sup_{B_k} |v_k| \leqslant C\rho^{2k}\omega_f(\rho^k). \tag{3.1}$$

Since $w_k = u_k - u_{k+1}$ is L-harmonic in B_{k+2}, we have by Lemma 2.2 and (3.1) that

$$\sup_{B_{k+2}} |X_i w_k| \leqslant C\rho^{-k-2} \sup_{B_{k+1}} |w_k| \leqslant C\rho^{-k} \left(\sup_{B_{k+1}} |v_k| + \sup_{B_{k+1}} |v_{k+1}| \right) \leqslant C\rho^k \omega_f(\rho^k). \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\sup_{B_{k+2}} |X_i X_j w_k| \leqslant C\rho^{-2k-4} \sup_{B_{k+1}} |w_k| \leqslant C\rho^{-2k} \left(\sup_{B_{k+1}} |v_k| + \sup_{B_{k+1}} |v_{k+1}| \right) \leqslant C\omega_f(\rho^k). \tag{3.3}$$

Applying Lemma 2.6 to $u \in C^2(B_1(0))$, it gets a homogenous polynomial $P_2(u,0)$ of degree 2 such that for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m$,

$$X_i P_2(u,0)(0) = X_i u(0)$$

and

$$X_i X_j P_2(u,0)(0) = X_i X_j u(0).$$

By (3.1) and Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_k} |u_k - P_2(u,0)| &\leqslant \sup_{B_k} |u - u_k| + \sup_{B_k} |u - P_2(u,0)| \\ &\leqslant C\omega_f(\rho^k)\rho^{2k} + o(\rho^{2k}) \leqslant o(\rho^{2k}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Noting $LP_2(u,0) = Lu(0) = f(0) = Lu_k$, it sees that $u_k - P_2(u,0)$ is L-harmonic, and follows by Lemma 2.2 and (3.4) that

$$\sup_{B_k} |X_i u_k - X_i P_2(u,0)| \leqslant C\rho^{-k} o(\rho^{2k}) = o(\rho^k)$$

and

$$\sup_{B_k}|X_iX_ju_k - X_iX_jP_2(u,0)| \leqslant C\rho^{-2k}o(\rho^{2k}) = o(1),$$

hence

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} X_iu_k(0) = X_iP_2(u,0)(0) = X_iu(0), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} X_iX_ju_k(0) = X_iX_jP_2(u,0)(0) = X_iX_ju(0). \tag{3.6}$$

For any point ξ_0 near the origin satisfying $|\xi_0| \leqslant 1/4b^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|X_iX_ju(\xi_0) - X_iX_ju(0)| \\
&\leqslant |X_iX_ju(\xi_0) - X_iX_ju_k(\xi_0)| + |X_iX_ju_k(\xi_0) - X_iX_ju_k(0)| + |X_iX_ju_k(0) - X_iX_ju(0)| \\
&:= I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Step 2. We now estimate I_1, I_2 and I_3, respectively, to prove (1.2).

To estimate I_3, let k satisfy $\rho^{2k+4} \leqslant |\xi_0| := d_0 \leqslant \rho^{2k+3}$. It shows by (3.3) and (3.6) that

$$I_3 \leqslant \Sigma_{l=k}^{\infty}|X_iX_ju_l(0) - X_iX_ju_{l+1}(0)| \leqslant C\Sigma_{l=k}^{\infty}\frac{\omega_f(\rho^l)}{\rho^l}\rho^l \leqslant C\int_0^{\sqrt{d_0}}\frac{\omega(r)}{r}dr. \tag{3.8}$$

To estimate I_1, we consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu_k' = f_{\xi_0} = f(\xi_0) & in\ B_k(\xi_0), \\ u_k' = u & on\ \partial B_k(\xi_0). \end{cases}$$

Similarly to (3.3) and (3.6), it follows

$$\sup_{B_{k+2}(\xi_0)}|X_iX_ju_l'(\xi_0) - X_iX_ju_{l+1}'(\xi_0)| \leqslant C\omega_f(\rho^k), \tag{3.9}$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} X_iX_ju_k'(\xi_0) = X_iX_ju(\xi_0). \tag{3.10}$$

Since $L(u_k' - u_k) = f_{\xi_0} - f_0$ in $B_{k+2}(\xi_0)$, it implies

$$L[u_k' - u_k - \frac{1}{2}(f_{\xi_0} - f_0)x_{1,1}^2] = 0,\ in\ B_{k+2}(\xi_0).$$

By Lemma 2.5 and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|X_iX_ju_k'(\xi_0) - X_iX_ju_k(\xi_0)| \\
&\leqslant |(f_{\xi_0} - f_0)| + |X_iX_ju_k'(\xi_0) - X_iX_ju_k(\xi_0) - \frac{1}{2}X_iX_j(f_{\xi_0} - f_0)x_{1,1}^2| \\
&\leqslant C\omega_f(\rho^k) + C\rho^{2k}\sup_{B_{k+2}(\xi_0)}|u_k' - u_k| + C\sup_{B_{k+2}(\xi_0)}|(f_{\xi_0} - f_0)x_{1,1}^2| \\
&\leqslant C\omega_f(\rho^k) + C\rho^{2k}\left(C\rho^{2k}\omega_f(\rho^k) + \sup_{\partial B_{k+2}(\xi_0)}|u - u_k|\right) + C\rho^{2k}\omega_f(\rho^k) \\
&\leqslant C\omega_f(\rho^k).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

With a similar process to (3.8), one has by (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leqslant |X_iX_ju(\xi_0)-X_iX_ju_k'(\xi_0)|+|X_iX_ju_k'(\xi_0)-X_iX_ju_k(\xi_0)| \\ &\leqslant \Sigma_{l=k}^{\infty}|X_iX_ju_l'(\xi_0)-X_iX_ju_{l+1}'(\xi_0)|+C\omega_f(\rho^k) \\ &\leqslant C\int_0^{\sqrt{d_0}}\frac{\omega(r)}{r}dr. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Finally, let us estimate I_2. Since $w_k\in C^{\infty}(B_{k+2})$, we have by Lemma 2.8 that

$$|X_iX_jw_k(\xi_0)-X_iX_jw_k(0)|\leqslant Cd_0\sup_{\substack{|\eta|<b|\xi_0|<\rho^{k+2}\\ l=1,2,\ldots,m}}|X_iX_jX_lw_k(\eta)|\leqslant Cd_0\rho^{-k}\omega_f(\rho^k). \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand, it derives

$$\begin{aligned} &|X_iX_ju_1(\xi_0)-X_iX_ju_1(0)| \\ &\leqslant Cd_0\sup_{\substack{|\eta|<b|\xi_0|<\rho^{k+2}\\ l=1,2,\ldots,m}}|X_lX_iX_j(u_1(\eta)-P(u_1,0)(\eta))| \\ &\leqslant Cd_0\left(\sup_{B_1}|u_1|+\sup_{B_1}|P(u_1,0)|\right) \\ &\leqslant Cd_0\left(\sup_{B_1}|u|+||f||_{L^{\infty}}+\sum_{0<|I|\leqslant 2}\sup_{B_1}|X^I(u_1-\frac{1}{2}f_0x_{1,1}^2)|+\sum_{0<|I|\leqslant 2}\sup_{B_1}|\frac{1}{2}X^I(f_0x_{1,1}^2)|\right) \\ &\leqslant Cd_0\left(\sup_{B_1}|u|+||f||_{L^{\infty}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Then we get by (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leqslant |X_iX_ju_{k-1}(\xi_0)-X_iX_ju_{k-1}(0)|+|X_iX_jw_{k-1}(\xi_0)-X_iX_jw_{k-1}(0)| \\ &\leqslant |X_iX_ju_1(\xi_0)-X_iX_ju_1(0)|+\Sigma_{l=1}^{k-1}|X_iX_jw_l(\xi_0)-X_iX_jw_l(0)| \\ &\leqslant Cd_0\left(\sup_{B_1}|u|+||f||_{L^{\infty}}+\Sigma_{l=1}^{k-1}\frac{\omega_f(\rho^l)}{\rho^{2l}}\rho^l\right) \\ &\leqslant Cd_0\left(\sup_{B_1}|u|+||f||_{L^{\infty}}+\int_{\sqrt{d_0}}^1\frac{\omega_f(r)}{r^2}dr\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Substituting (3.8), (3.12), (3.15) into (3.7), we conclude that for every ξ_0 satisfying $d_0=|\xi_0|\leqslant 1/4b^2$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} &|X_iX_ju(\xi_0)-X_iX_ju(0)| \\ &\leqslant C\left(d_0(\sup_{B_1(0)}|u|+||f||_{L^{\infty}}+\int_{\sqrt{d_0}}^1\frac{\omega_f(r)}{r^2}dr)+\int_0^{\sqrt{d_0}}\frac{\omega_f(r)}{r}dr\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

For any ξ and η in $B_{1/2}(0)$, $d = d(\xi,\eta)$, let us choose $\xi = \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n = \eta$ such that

$$d(\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}) = d', d' < d_0, (n-1)d' = d, \ for\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1.$$

By applying (3.16) to those points, we get (1.2).

Step 3. If $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(B_1(0))$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then

$$|f(\xi) - f(\eta)| \leqslant [f]_{C^{0,\alpha}} d(\xi,\eta)^\alpha,$$

thus

$$\omega_f(r) = \sup_{d(\xi,\eta)<r} |f(\xi) - f(\eta)| \leqslant [f]_{C^{0,\alpha}} r^\alpha.$$

Hence it yields from the right side of (1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d\left(\sup_{B_1(0)} |u| + ||f||_{L^\infty} + \int_{\sqrt{d}}^1 \frac{\omega_f(r)}{r^2} dr\right) + \int_0^{\sqrt{d}} \frac{\omega_f(r)}{r} dr \\
& \leqslant d\left(\sup_{B_1(0)} |u| + ||f||_{L^\infty} + [f]_{C^{0,\alpha}} \int_{\sqrt{d}}^1 \frac{1}{r^{2-\alpha}} dr\right) + [f]_{C^{0,\alpha}} \int_0^{\sqrt{d}} \frac{1}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr \\
& \leqslant d \sup_{B_1(0)} |u| + \frac{d}{2-\alpha} [f]_{C^{0,\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{(\sqrt{d})^{1-\alpha}} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} [f]_{C^{0,\alpha}} \left(\sqrt{d}\right)^\alpha \\
& \leqslant C d^{\alpha/2} \left(\sup_{B_1(0)} |u| + ||f||_{C^{0,\alpha}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

and proves (1.3).

If $f \in C^{0,1}(B_1(0))$, then

$$\omega_f(r) = \sup_{d(\xi,\eta)<r} |f(\xi) - f(\eta)| \leqslant [f]_{C^{0,1}} r$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& d\left(\sup_{B_1(0)} |u| + ||f||_{L^\infty} + \int_{\sqrt{d}}^1 \frac{\omega_f(r)}{r^2} dr\right) + \int_0^{\sqrt{d}} \frac{\omega_f(r)}{r} dr \\
& \leqslant d\left(\sup_{B_1(0)} |u| + ||f||_{L^\infty} + [f]_{C^{0,1}} \int_{\sqrt{d}}^1 \frac{1}{r} dr\right) + [f]_{C^{0,1}} \sqrt{d} \\
& \leqslant d\left(\sup_{B_1(0)} |u| + ||f||_{L^\infty}\right) + [f]_{C^{0,1}} \sqrt{d} \left(1 + |\sqrt{d} \log \sqrt{d}|\right) \\
& \leqslant d^{1/2} \left(\sup_{B_1(0)} |u| + ||f||_{C^{0,1}} \left(1 + |\sqrt{d} \log \sqrt{d}|\right)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

thus (1.4) is obtained. □

References

[1] A. BONFIGLIOLI, E. LANCONELLI AND F. UGUZZONI, *Stratified Lie groups and potential theory for their sub-Laplacians*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, (2007).

[2] M. BRAMANTI AND L. BRANDOLINI, *Schauder estimates for parabolic nondivergence operators of Hörmander type*, J D E, **234**, 1 (2007), 177–245.

[3] L. CAFFARELLI, *Interior a priori estimates for solutions of fully non-linear equations*, Ann Math, **130**, 1 (1989), 189–213.

[4] L. CAPOGNA, D. DANIELLI AND N. GAROFALO, *An embedding theorem and the harnack inequality for nonlinear subelliptic equations*, Comm PDE, **18**, 9-10 (1993), 1765–1794.

[5] L. CAPOGNA AND Q. HAN, *Pointwise Schauder estimates for second order linear equations in Carnot groups*, Cont Math, **320**, (2003), 45–70.

[6] Y. CHEN AND L. WU, *Second order elliptic equations and elliptic systems*, AMS Bookstore, (1998).

[7] G. FOLLAND AND E. STEIN, *Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}_b$-complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group*, Comm Pure Appl Math, **27**, 4 (1974), 429–522.

[8] G. FOLLAND AND E. STEIN, *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, Princeton Univ Press, (1982).

[9] B. FRANCHI, G. LU AND R. WHEEDEN, *Weighted Poincaré inequalities for Hörmander vector fields and local regularity for a class of degenerate elliptic equations*, Potential Anal, **4** (1995), 361–375.

[10] N. GAROFALO AND D. VASSILEV, *Regularity near the characteristic set in the non-linear Dirichlet problem and conformal geometry of sub-Laplacians on Carnot groups*, Math Ann, **318**, 3 (2000), 453–516.

[11] D. GILBARG AND N. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer-Verlag, (1998).

[12] C. GUTIÉRREZ AND E. LANCONELLI, *Schauder estimates for subelliptic equations*, J Evol Equ, **9**, 4 (2009), 707–726.

[13] L. HÖRMANDER, *Hypoelliptic second order dierential equations*, Acta Math, **119** (1967), 147–171.

[14] Q. HAN, *Schauder estimates for elliptic operators with applications to nodal sets*, J Geom Anal, **10**, 3 (2000), 455–480.

[15] Y. JIANG AND F. TIAN, *Schauder estimates for Kohn-Laplace equation in the Heisenberg group*, Acta Math Sci, **32A**, 6 (2012), 1191–1198. (in Chinese)

[16] J. LIU, N. TRUDINGER AND X. WANG, *Interior $C^{2,\alpha}$ regularity for potential functions in optimal transportation*, Comm P D E, **35**, 1 (2009), 165–184.

[17] G. LU, *Weighted Poincaré and Sobolev inequalities for vector fields satisfying Hörmander's condition and applications*, Revista Mate Iberoamer, **8** (1992), 367–439.

[18] G. LU, *Existence and size estimates for the Green's function of differential operators constructed from degenerate vector fields*, Comm PDE, **17**, 7-8 (1992), 1213–1251.

[19] A. LUNARDI, *Schauder estimates for a class of parabolic operators with unbounded coefficients in R^n*, Ann Scuola Norm Sup Pisa (4), **24**, 1 (1997), 133–164.

[20] A. NAGEL, E. STEIN AND S. WAINGER, *Balls and metrics defined by vector fields I: Basic properties*, Acta Math, **155** (1985), 130–147.

[21] S. POLIDORO AND M. DI FRANCESCO, *Schauder estimates, Harnack inequality and Gaussian lower bounds for Kolmogorov type operators in non-divergence form*, Adv Diff Eqs, **11**, 11 (2006), 1261–1320.

[22] G. TIAN AND X. WANG, *A priori estimates for fully nonlinear parabolic equations*, Int Math Res Not, (2012), http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rns169.

[23] X. WANG, *Schauder estimates for elliptic and parabolic equations*, Chin Ann Math, **27B**, 6 (2006), 637–642.